	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/869,435	GOUBIN, LOUIS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>LEYNNA T. HA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Jason Vick</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 8, AND 10		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		ž.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Mundo		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1 and 8 contain 101 issues and claim 10 contains a single means. Claims 1 and 8 may be allowable if they overcome the 101 issues. Claim 10 needs to be amended to include more than one means.

Mr. Colby have agreed to an Examiner's amendment for claims 1, 8, and 10. No claims have been cancelled as a result of this interview..